

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/929,787	_	08/14/2001	Edward J. Noga	5051-551	9013
20792	7590	09/02/2003			
	MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			EXAMINER	
				ZEMAN, RO	ZEMAN, ROBERT A
	•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1645	
				DATE MAILED: 09/02/2003.	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>								
_		Application N .	Applicant(s)					
		09/929,787	NOGA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Robert A. Zeman	1645					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE f this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address					
A SHO THE N - Exter after: - if the - if NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	August 2001 .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
·	on of Claims							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application		·					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.						
-	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement						
-	on Papers	siection requirement.						
· · · _	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
,	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No					
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	—14)[⊟-Acknowledgment-is-made-of-a-claim-for-domestic-priority-under-35-U-S-C§-1-19(e)-(to-a-provisional-application)							
а	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-4, 10 and 19, drawn to antimicrobial peptides and pharmaceutical compositions containing said peptides, classified in class 530, subclass 300.
- II. Claims 5-9, drawn to methods of isolating antimicrobial peptides, classified in class 435, subclass 71.1.
- III. Claims 11 and 20, drawn to methods of treating microbial infections, classified in class 514, subclass 13.
- IV. Claims 12-14 and 21-23, drawn to methods of reducing antibiotic resistance in bacteria, classified in class 514, subclass 13.
- V. Claims 15-16 and 24-25, drawn to antibodies, classified in class 530, subclass 388.1.
- VI. Claims 17-18 and 26-27, drawn to nucleic acids encoding antimicrobial peptides, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II-IV are each separate and distinct from each other as they are drawn to differing methods having different steps, different goals and leading to differing goals.

Inventions I, V and VI are each separate and distinct from each other, as they comprise differing biochemical and immunological entities having differing properties and uses. In the

Art Unit: 1645

instant case Invention I is drawn to antimicrobial peptides while Invention V is drawn to antibodies and Invention VI is drawn nucleic acids.

Inventions I and II are each separate and distinct, as the compositions of Invention I cannot be used in the methods of Invention II.

Inventions II-III and VI are each separate and distinct from Invention IV, as the compositions of Inventions VI cannot be used in the methods of Inventions II-III.

Inventions II and IV are each separate and distinct from Invention V, as the compositions of Invention V cannot be used in the methods of Inventions II and IV.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the peptides of Invention I can be used for the production of monoclonal antibodies.

Inventions VI and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acids of Invention VI can be used for the production the gene product.

Invention V and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

Art Unit: 1645

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibodies of Invention V can be used in methods of protein purification.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I-VI would not be coextensive in scope., restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1645

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Zeman whose telephone number is (703) 308-7991.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Thursday, 7am -5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (703) 308-3909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert A. Zeman September 2, 2003